Message

From: Strauss, Linda [Strauss.Linda@epa.gov]

Sent: 12/1/2017 8:22:47 PM

To: Beck, Nancy [Beck.Nancy@epa.gov]; Morris, Jeff [Morris.Jeff@epa.gov]

CC: Bertrand, Charlotte [Bertrand.Charlotte@epa.gov]; Scheifele, Hans [Scheifele.Hans@epa.gov]; Pierce, Alison

[Pierce.Alison@epa.gov]; Ortiz, Julia [Ortiz.Julia@epa.gov]; Mottley, Tanya [Mottley.Tanya@epa.gov]; Wise, Louise

[Wise.Louise@epa.gov]

Subject: RE: NYT on PFAS - written

Great, thanks.

From: Beck, Nancy

Sent: Friday, December 01, 2017 3:22 PM

To: Strauss, Linda <Strauss.Linda@epa.gov>; Morris, Jeff <Morris.Jeff@epa.gov>

Cc: Bertrand, Charlotte <Bertrand.Charlotte@epa.gov>; Scheifele, Hans <Scheifele.Hans@epa.gov>; Pierce, Alison <Pierce.Alison@epa.gov>; Ortiz, Julia <Ortiz.Julia@epa.gov>; Mottley, Tanya <Mottley.Tanya@epa.gov>; Wise, Louise

<Wise.Louise@epa.gov>

Subject: RE: NYT on PFAS - written

Haven't had time to review but its on my list.

Nancy B. Beck, Ph.D., DABT

Deputy Assistant Administrator, OCSPP

P: 202-564-1273
Personal Address / Ex. 6

beck.nancy@epa.gov

From: Strauss, Linda

Sent: Friday, December 1, 2017 3:22 PM **To:** Morris, Jeff < <u>Morris, Jeff@epa.gov</u>>

Cc: Bertrand, Charlotte < Bertrand. Charlotte@epa.gov>; Beck, Nancy < Beck. Nancy@epa.gov>; Scheifele, Hans < Scheifele. Hans@epa.gov>; Pierce, Alison < Pierce. Alison@epa.gov>; Ortiz, Julia@epa.gov>; Mottley, Tanya

<Mottley.Tanya@epa.gov>; Wise, Louise <Wise.Louise@epa.gov>

Subject: RE: NYT on PFAS - written

Nancy, OK to send on - or do you want to handle with Liz?

Linda

From: Morris, Jeff

Sent: Friday, December 01, 2017 1:32 PM
To: Strauss, Linda < Strauss.Linda@epa.gov>

Cc: Bertrand, Charlotte Bertrand.Charlotte@epa.gov; Beck, Nancy Beck.Nancy@epa.gov; Scheifele, Hans

<<u>Scheifele.Hans@epa.gov</u>>; Pierce, Alison <<u>Pierce.Alison@epa.gov</u>>; Ortiz, Julia <<u>Ortiz.Julia@epa.gov</u>>; Mottley, Tanya

<<u>Mottley.Tanya@epa.gov>; Wise, Louise <Wise.Louise@epa.gov></u>

Subject: Re: NYT on PFAS - written

Yes, though I suspect the reporter will want to follow up on what regulating those 108 means and why we didn't issue orders for the others.

On Dec 1, 2017, at 1:27 PM, Strauss, Linda <<u>Strauss.Linda@epa.gov</u>> wrote:

Provide the yellow below to OPA? Gen-X info is already included in the fact sheet.

1) **NY Times (Jon Hurdle) Request:** Can you tell me why EPA does not regulate perfluorinated chemicals (PFCs)? I understand that EPA issues health advisories for some of them such as PFOA and PFOS but it has not set a maximum contaminant limit for any of the chemicals.

Advocates say EPA should be protecting the public from the chemicals that are linked to cancer, developmental issues, and immune-system problems. In the absence of federal action, some states are now setting their own limits. They include New Jersey which is now setting a MCL for PFNA and PFOA, and is considering whether to do the same for PFOS, at levels that will be the strictest in the U.S.

Given the evidence that these chemicals threaten public health -- as indicated by the EPA's own advisories -- shouldn't EPA be setting national standards?

Could you get me a response to this by the close of business Tuesday?

Information from EPA will be part of a bigger story that covers advocates' calls for tighter controls on PFCs, the actions by some states to regulate them, any comments from the American Chemistry Council, and whatever EPA chooses to say. Yes, late Tuesday would be a hard deadline.

Deliberative Process / Ex. 5

From: Morris, Jeff

Sent: Friday, December 01, 2017 12:47 PM

To: Bertrand, Charlotte < Bertrand.Charlotte@epa.gov>; Strauss, Linda < Strauss.Linda@epa.gov>
Cc: Beck, Nancy < Beck.Nancy@epa.gov>; Scheifele, Hans < Scheifele.Hans@epa.gov>; Pierce, Alison
< Pierce.Alison@epa.gov>; Ortiz, Julia < Ortiz.Julia@epa.gov>; Mottley, Tanya < Mottley.Tanya@epa.gov>;

Wise, Louise < Wise.Louise@epa.gov>
Subject: RE: NYT on PFAS - written

What we know today is the following: From 2006-June 2016, EPA received 273 PFC PMN submissions and entered into consent orders to regulate the chemical for 108 such submissions. We need to do more work to see how many more came in from 6/2016 to present.

From: Bertrand, Charlotte

Sent: Friday, December 01, 2017 10:57 AM **To:** Strauss, Linda < Strauss. Linda@epa.gov>

Cc: Beck, Nancy < <u>Beck.Nancy@epa.gov</u>>; Morris, Jeff < <u>Morris.Jeff@epa.gov</u>>; Scheifele, Hans

<Scheifele.Hans@epa.gov>; Pierce, Alison <Pierce.Alison@epa.gov>; Ortiz, Julia <Ortiz.Julia@epa.gov>;

Mottley, Tanya < Mottley. Tanya@epa.gov >; Wise, Louise < Wise. Louise@epa.gov >

Subject: Re: NYT on PFAS - written

I believe we wanted to say more about how we have reviewed and taken action on xx new Chemical requests through TSCA.

Sent from my iPhone

On Dec 1, 2017, at 9:19 AM, Strauss, Linda <<u>Strauss.Linda@epa.gov</u>> wrote:

Nancy, just let us know what is still needed on this. Thanks.

From: Beck, Nancy

Sent: Wednesday, November 29, 2017 3:39 PM **To:** Strauss, Linda < Strauss, Linda@epa.gov>

Cc: Bertrand, Charlotte < Bertrand, Charlotte@epa.gov>; Wise, Louise

<Wise.Louise@epa.gov>

Subject: Re: NYT on PFAS - interview or written

I think we should do written and we will need OPPT to chime in here as well. GenX is likely not the only PFAS we have reviewed and we should speak to those reviews as well.

Nancy B. Beck, Ph.D., DABT
Deputy Assistant Administrator, OCSPP

P: 202-564-1273
Personal Address / Ex. 6

Beck.Nancy@epa.gov

On Nov 29, 2017, at 3:30 PM, Strauss, Linda <<u>Strauss.Linda@epa.gov</u>> wrote:

Nancy, this is a New York Times interview request (but we could possibly do a written instead). Liz B. was recommending you. It has a big OW component.

Option 1: Interview with you, w/Peter Grevatt as support.

Option 2: We add on an OCSPP paragraph (yellow below) to the below written. Send that and see if it is enough.

 NY Times (Jon Hurdle) Request: Can you tell me why EPA does not regulate perfluorinated chemicals (PFCs)? I understand that EPA issues health advisories for some of them such as PFOA and PFOS but it has not set a maximum contaminant limit for any of the chemicals.

Advocates say EPA should be protecting the public from the chemicals that are linked to cancer, developmental issues, and immune-system problems. In the absence of federal action, some states are now setting their own limits. They include New Jersey which is now setting a MCL for PFNA and PFOA, and is considering whether to do the same for PFOS, at levels that will be the strictest in the U.S.

Given the evidence that these chemicals threaten public health -- as indicated by the EPA's own advisories -- shouldn't EPA be setting national standards?

Could you get me a response to this by the close of business Tuesday?

Information from EPA will be part of a bigger story that covers advocates' calls for tighter controls on PFCs, the actions by some states to regulate them, any comments from the American Chemistry Council, and whatever EPA chooses to say. Yes, late Tuesday would be a hard deadline.

Deliberative Process / Ex. 5

